

جامعة الملك عبدالله للعلوم والتقنية King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

## Spatial Analysis of Modern Contraceptive use among women who need it in Ethiopia: Using geo-referenced data from Performance Monitoring for Action

Item Type	Preprint
Authors	Ejigu, Bedilu Alamirie;Shiferaw, Solomon;Moraga, Paula;Seme, Assefa;Yihdego, Mahari;Zebene, Addisalem;Amogne, Ayanaw;Zimmerman, Linnea
Citation	Ejigu, B. A., Shiferaw, S., Moraga, P., Seme, A., Yihdego, M., Zebene, A., Amogne, A., & Zimmerman, L. (2023). Spatial Analysis of Modern Contraceptive use among women who need it in Ethiopia: Using geo-referenced data from Performance Monitoring for Action. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3012842/v1
Eprint version	Pre-print
DOI	10.21203/rs.3.rs-3012842/v1
Publisher	Research Square Platform LLC
Rights	This is a preprint version of a paper and has not been peer reviewed. Archived with thanks to Research Square Platform LLC under a Creative Commons license, details at: https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Download date	2023-11-28 15:08:12
Item License	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10754/692452



# Spatial Analysis of Modern Contraceptive use among women who need it in Ethiopia: Using georeferenced data from Performance Monitoring for Action

Bedilu Alamirie Ejigu ( bedilu.alamirie@aau.edu.et )

Addis Ababa University https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1159-6308

Solomon Shiferaw

Addis Ababa University

## Paula Moraga

Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia

### Assefa Seme

Addis Ababa University

## Mahari Yihdego

PMA-Ethiopia

Addisalem Zebene PMA-Ethiopia

**Ayanaw Amogne** PMA-Ethiopia

## Linnea Zimmerman

Department of Population, Family, and Reproductive Health USA, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA.

## **Research Article**

Keywords: Access to family planning methods, Contraception, Spatial analysis

Posted Date: June 5th, 2023

### DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3012842/v1

License: © ① This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

## RESEARCH

# Spatial Analysis of Modern Contraceptive use in Ethiopia: Using geo-referenced data from Performance Monitoring for Action

Bedilu A. Ejigu<sup>1\*</sup>, Solomon Shiferaw<sup>2</sup>, Paula Moraga<sup>3</sup>, Assefa Seme<sup>2</sup>, Mahari Yihdego<sup>4</sup>, Addisalem Zebene<sup>4</sup>, Ayanaw Amogne<sup>4</sup> and Linnea Zimmerman<sup>5</sup>

Correspondence: edilu.alamirie@aau.edu.et Department of Statistics, Addis

baba University, Addis Ababa, TH ull list of author information is

ull list of author information is vailable at the end of the article

#### Abstract

**Background:** One of the main challenges to achieving maternal and neonatal health-related goals in developing countries is high fertility, resulting, in part, from lower family planning coverage. In Ethiopia, the coverage of modern contraceptive use among all women under reproductive age is below 40%. To inform program efforts to effectively meet contraceptive needs, it is essential to identify factors associated with the uptake of contraception and design geographically targeted intervention mechanisms guided by up-to-date prevalence maps that show the geographic distribution of contraceptive use across different administrative areas in the country.

**Objective:** Our objectives were to explore the spatial distribution of modern contraceptive use and identify determinant factors that affect the uptake of modern contraception among women who need it.

**Method:** We used data from the Performance Monitoring for Action Ethiopia (PMA Ethiopia) survey of women of reproductive age and the service delivery point (SDP) survey conducted in 2019. A total of 4,390 women who need contraception were considered as the analytical sample. To account for the study design, sampling weights were considered to compute the coverage of modern contraceptive use disaggregated by socio-demographic factors. Bayesian geostatistical modeling was employed to identify potential factors associated with the uptake of modern contraception and produce spatial prediction to unsampled locations.

**Result:** The overall weighted prevalence of modern contraception among women who need it was 44.2% (with 95% CI: 42.4% - 45.9%). Across regions of Ethiopia, contraceptive use coverage varies from 0% in Somali region to 52.3% in Addis Ababa. The average nearest distance from a woman's home to the nearest SDP was high in the Afar and Somali regions. The spatial mapping shows that contraceptive coverage was lower in the eastern part of the country. At zonal administrative level, relatively high (above 55%) proportion of modern contraception use coverage were observed in Adama Liyu Zone, Ilu Ababor, Misrak Shewa, and Kefa zone and the coverage were null in majority of Afar and Somali region zones. Among modern contraceptive users, use of the injectable dominated the method-mix. The modeling result reveals that, living closer to a SDP, having discussions about family planning with the partner, following a Christian religion, no pregnancy intention, being ever pregnant and being young increases the likelihood of using modern contraceptive methods.

**Conclusion:** Areas with low contraceptive coverage and lower access to contraception because of distance should be prioritized by the government and other supporting agencies. Women who discussed family planning with their partner were more likely to use modern contraceptives unlike those without such discussion. Thus, to improve the coverage of contraceptive use, it is very important to encourage/advocate women to have discussions with their partner.

Keywords: Access to family planning methods; Contraception; Spatial analysis

#### Background

Maternal and child mortality can be substantially reduced by increasing the coverage of contraceptive use through reductions in unintended pregnancy and unsafe abortion [1-3]. In east Africa where maternal and child mortality is very high, the coverage of contraception use is below 30% [4, 5]. A study by Kasahun *et al* [6] showed that scaling up the coverage of family planning services would have a significant effect on meeting the SDG3 maternal mortality reduction target. Further, improving the coverage of contraception may reduce poverty [7] and promote reproductive autonomy for women [8, 9].

The Federal Ministry of Health in Ethiopia has prioritized family planning as a key strategy for improving maternal and neonatal health and has identified a number of key targets to improve access to and use of contraception[10]. For example, the government of Ethiopia is committed to increase the "no stockout" status of at least three modern contraceptive methods from 63% to 90% by 2030 [11]. Despite a number of similar targets made by the government and other donor agencies in the last few decades to improve family planning (FP) coverage in Ethiopia, however, the coverage has not progressed as targeted [12]. Additionally, while the government holds the principle of equitable access to safe, effective, and affordable contraception and family planning services across the country, there is marked geographical disparity in the use of modern contraceptive methods [13-15], which may explain recent survey findings that use of family planning has not increased since 2018 [16, 17]. Hence, identifying factors linked with the uptake of modern contraceptive methods and exploring geographical disparities in use will be helpful for policy makers to plan and implement geographically targeted intervention mechanisms. However, current studies are limited for a number of reasons.

First, estimates of the contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) are generally computed by taking the percentage of all women in reproductive age (15 to 49 years old) who are using any type of contraception. This estimate, however, is not a true coverage indicator as not all women (i.e., pregnant women, menopausal women, women who wish to become pregnant) are in need of contraception [18]. Measuring progress towards Family Planning Coverage (FPC) would be strengthened by producing estimates for the proportion of women using contraception among those who need it [18, 19]. Thus, for program evaluation, FPC indicators respond more promptly to program changes (implementing intervention mechanisms) than impact indicators, such as CPR [19, 20]. Further details on the use and estimation techniques of FPC from CPR for monitoring and policy making were given by Barros et al[18]. To generate regional and national FPC indicators, this study focused on estimating contraceptive coverage among women in need of contraception method.

Second, geospatial data are useful to make geographically targeted interventions for decision makers [21]. Mapping modern contraceptive use has great advantages for not only understanding where high/low coverage are happening, but also for understanding health facilities accessibility and human activity/practice in that area. Adding the spatial component of the data into the analysis have two advantages to program planners and decision makers: i) generating maps that are helpful to better understand the geographic context behind activities, and ii) geographic context can help facilitate linkages among data sets and wide diversity of health data, covering a wide range of policy and planning issues [21].

Third, the use of modern contraception can be affected by both demand-side (individual level) and supply-side(health facility-level) factors. Despite this interdependence contraceptive research has tended to explore demand-side factor [5, 13, 14, 22–29], and supply-side factors separately. Studies done by [30–33] investigated both individual and service environment factors associated with modern contraceptive use, but their analytical samples were not women in need of a method, which may distort estimates.

A study by [34] used the 2016 EDHS data and the 2014 SPA data to explore the relationship between the service delivery environment and contraceptive use, but the linkage of data sources from two different years introduces substantial potential for bias. Further, the study by [13] defined access to the health facility by considering the average distance from sampled family planning providers to one point within a survey cluster, not to the sampled individual women house. This study considers distance from the nearest SDP to the exact household.

Previous studies on contraceptive use in Ethiopia have demonstrated the association of contraceptive use and education, parity, age, marital status, media exposure, household wealth quintile and place of residence, [14, 22–26, 35, 36], but few studies limit their analyses to women in need of contraception, and none explore this geographically. With these research gaps in mind, the objective of this paper is to explore the spatial distribution of modern contraceptive coverage and identify determinant factors that affect the uptake of modern contraception among women who need it. To the best of our knowledge, no study has examined the relationship between the use of modern contraception and the distance to the nearest health facility, restricting the sample to only women who have need of contraception. Findings from this study will provide evidence to inform decision-makers and stakeholders involved in family planning to improve women's access to modern contraception.

#### Methodology

#### Study Design and Data Source

The data used in the study were obtained from Performance Monitoring for Action Ethiopia (PMA Ethiopia) project which includes a nationally representative survey of women of reproductive age and a service delivery point (SDP) survey, both conducted from October-December in 2019. The PMA surveys are cross-sectional surveys based on a multistage stratified cluster sampling design with urban-rural stratification. The primary sampling units are Enumeration Areas (EAs), selected using probability proportional-to-size methods. A total of 265 enumeration areas were selected by the Central Statistics Agency (CSA) to be representative at the national and regional level. Prior to data collection, all households in each enumeration area were listed and mapped by interviewers to create a frame for the second stage of the sampling process. Once listing was completed, 35 households were randomly selected. All occupants in selected households were enumerated and from this list, all eligible women between the ages of 15 to 49 were administered the female-household questionnaire after informed consent was obtained. The female questionnaire collects information on Socio-demographic characteristics, reproductive preferences including birth history and contraceptive knowledge, use, history and intention. Additional details on PMA survey protocol, sampling design and implementation are further described by Zimmerman and colleagues [37].

The SDP survey is a combination of a census of governmental health facilities that serve the selected EA, specifically the health post, health center, and primary level hospital, and a sample of up to three private health facilities within the Kebele boundary (the lowest administrative level within Ethiopia). The SDP sample is thus representative of the service environment accessible to the female sample. The SDP questionnaire focuses on health facility characteristics, available services, staffing, infrastructure and the provision of family planning services including the availability of contraceptive methods, integration of services and observation of the exam room for family planning visits.

The advantage of using PMA data are two-fold. First, SDP and female data are collected at the same time, reducing biases introduced when analyzing data sources collected years apart, and second, GPS points were collected at the household and SDP allowing for individual level linkages. This is helpful to avoid miss-classification errors [38] associated with linking SDP data with the household data during the computation of the nearest distance from women household to the SDP.

#### Analytical Sample

Among a total of 8,837 women who completed the survey questionnaire, only 4,390 women who need contraception were considered. Women who are in-need of a contraceptive method defined as those who are sexually active, fecund, not pregnant and want to avoid pregnancy. Women who want to be pregnant, sexually inactive and those who are currently pregnant women were excluded from the analytical sample. As a result, the analysis was done only by considering 4,390 women.

#### Study Variables

The outcome variable of this study was modern contraceptive use. A woman was considered to be using modern contraception if she used any of the following methods; female sterilization, implant, IUD, injectable, pill, emergency contraception, female or male condoms, cycle beads, and LAM. A number of Socio-demographic characteristics, obstetric characteristics, distance to the nearest SDP and partner dynamics variables were considered as an exploratory variable. Table 1 presents summary of exploratory factors considered in this study.

Table 1 Description of exploratory variables considered in the study

Variable	Variable description/classification
Age	Respondents age classified as: 15-19, 20-24, 25-34, 35-49
Education	Never attained, Primary, Secondary/higher
Wealth	Household Wealth quintiles (low, middle, high)
Parity	Number of births: 0-1 births, 2-3 births, $4+$ births
Fertility intention	Have a/another child, No preference/Says she can't get pregnant
Marital status	Not married, Married/in union, Single/divorced/widowed
Religion	Respondent religion: Christian, Muslim, Others
Discussion with Partner	Have discussion with the partner about family planning (Yes, No)
Partner feeling	How partner feel about FP (Disapprove, don't care, ok with it)
Media <sup>†</sup>	Media Exposure about family planning
Residence	Place of residence: urban, rural
Region <sup>‡</sup>	List of administrative regions of Ethiopia
Zone	List of administrative zones of Ethiopia
Distance to SDP	Nearest distance to the SDP ( $<$ 2Km, 2-6Km, $>$ 6Km)

<sup>†</sup> It is a composite variable constructed using YES or NO question: did you heard/seen or received message about family planning from radio, TV, newspaper or magazine, social media, text message on mobile. <sup>‡</sup> Tigray, Amhara, Oromia, SNNP, Addis Ababa, Afar, Somali, B-Gumuz, Gambella, Harari,Diredawa. FP stands for Family Planning

#### Statistical Analysis

To investigate geographical disparity in modern contraceptive use and explore factors that influence the uptake of modern contraception, different spatial and nonspatial data analyses techniques were employed. The proportion of modern contraception users were computed by taking into account survey weights using STATA 17 software [39]. Graphical exploration and modeling was done using the **R** software [40].

#### Spatial Analysis

Regional, Zonal and sampling cluster level geographic coordinates were used to map the coverage of modern contraceptive use under different administrative levels. Household level geographic coordinates were used when we perform spatial interpolation using model-based geostatistics[41].

#### **Spatial Interpolation**

The spatial interpolation technique predicts modern contraceptive use coverage on the un-sampled areas in the country based on sampled data. To predict the minimum, average and maximum coverage of modern contraception use coverage we use a geostatistical model. Inference was performed using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation (INLA) which is designed to perform approximate Bayesian inference in latent Gaussian models and implemented using the R-INLA package [42]. Prediction to the spatially continuous Gaussian random fields was done by solving Stochastic partial Differentiation Equation(SPDE) on a discrete mesh of points that covers Ethiopia using R-INLA [43].

Conditional on the true prevalence of modern contraceptive use  $P(x_i)$  at location  $x_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$ , the number of contraception users  $Y_i$  out of  $n_i$  women sampled follows a binomial distribution:  $Y_i | P(x_i) \sim Binomial(n_i, P(x_i))$ ,

$$logit(P(x_i)) = \beta_0 + D\beta + S(x_i) \tag{1}$$

where,  $\beta_0$  denotes the intercept, D is a design matrix with rows corresponding to the covariate (Table1) data and S(.) is a spatial random effect that follows a Gaussian process with mean zero, and variance  $\sigma^2$ , and correlation function  $\rho(u) = Corr(S(l), S(l'))$  [41]. Among different parametric families, such as exponential, Gaussian, spherical have been proposed for  $\rho(u)$ , Stein [44] recommends the use of Matern correlation function [45] given by (Eqn 2)

$$\rho(u;\phi,\kappa) = \frac{2^{\kappa-1}\Gamma(\kappa)}{(u/\phi)^{\kappa}\kappa_{\kappa}(u/\phi)}, u > 0$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $\phi > 0$  is a scale parameter,  $\kappa > 0$  is the shape parameter,  $\kappa_{\kappa}(\cdot)$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order  $\kappa > 0$ , and u = ||l - l'|| is the Euclidean distance between two locations.

The predicted mean, lower and upper limits of 95% credible intervals of modern contraception use coverage across Ethiopia were done using Equation 1.

#### Results

#### Socio-demographic Characteristics

In this analysis, 4,390 women in reproductive age (15-49 years old) who need contraception across 265 enumeration areas were included. Out of this number, 44.6% of them had no formal education, nearly quarter (26.3%) were between the age of 15-24, 83.1% were married, 38.1% were exposed to mass media about family planning, 68.5% were living  $\leq 2$ km distance from any SDP, 65.2% had a child or have the intention to have another child, 69.6% of the respondents were living in rural areas of the country. Further, 40.2% were from a household with high wealth quintile, 43.0% had four and above children, 90.5% were ever pregnant, and 71.1% practiced christian religion. Further details of the respondents characteristics by different socio-demographic factors are presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Background characteristics of respondents.

Background Charac-	Unweighted n	Weighted n	Percentage(weighted)
teristics			
Total	4390	4390	100.0
Age			
15-19	326	354	8.1
20-24	820	799	18.2
25-34	1,804	1754	40.0
35-49	1,440	1482	33.8
School			
Never attend	1,789	1960	44.6
Primary	1,502	1551	35.3
Secondary/higher	1,099	879	20.0
Marital Status			
Not married	253	204	4.6
Married/Union	3,529	3649	83.1
Divorced/Widowed	608	537	12.2
Religion			
Chistian	3,153	3119	71.1
Muslim	1,155	1195	27.2
Others	82	76	1.7
Wealth quantile			
Low	1,452	1741	39.7
Middle	752	882	20.1
High	2,186	1767	40.2
Parity	,		
0-1 birth	1,382	1283	29.2
2-3 births	1,314	1221	27.8
4+ births	1,694	1886	43.0
Distance from SDP	· ·		
$\leq 2 \text{ km}$	3,267	3008	68.5
2-6 Km	875	1163	26.5
$> 6 \mathrm{Km}$	248	219	5.0
Media exposure			
No	2,504	2714	61.9
Yes	1,883	1673	38.1
Ever Pregnant	, í		
No	468	417	9.5
Yes	3,922	3973	90.5
Partner discussion			
	1		Continued on next page

I

Table 2 – continued from previous page									
Background Charac-	Unweighted n	Weighted n	Percentage(weighted)						
teristics									
No	2,646	2562	58.4						
Yes	1,744	1828	41.6						
Partner Feeling									
Disapprove	723	759	17.3						
Don't care	1,389	1220	27.8						
Ok with it	2,278	2411	54.9						
Fertility Intention									
Have a/another child	2,891	2862	65.2						
No Preference	1,499	1528	34.8						
Residence									
Urban	1,790	1334	30.4						
Rural	$2,\!600$	3056	69.6						
Region									
Tigray	554	265	6.0						
Afar	149	31	0.7						
Amhara	787	1025	23.4						
Oromia	910	1741	39.6						
Somali	67	112	2.6						
B-Gumuz	159	57	1.3						
SNNP	823	857	19.5						
Gambella	207	17	0.4						
Harari	198	20	0.5						
Addis Ababa	368	244	5.6						
Dire Dawa	168	21	0.5						

Table 2 – continued from previous page

#### Health facility Characteristics

During 2019 PMA-Ethiopia survey implementation, data from 799 service delivery points (health facilities) of which 547(68.5%) were public and 252(31.5%) were private facilities were collected. Among sampled SDPs 95.6% and 65.3% of them were providing family planning services and immediate postpartum family planning service, respectively. Further, 90.7% of the SDPs were providing family planning related counseling services.

Table 3 presents the summary of women's home nearest distance from the SDP by region. The national average nearest distance from SDP to women's home was 2.01km (with SD of  $\pm 4.38$ km). The longest minimum average distance from the facility to women's homes were found in Afar (13.79km) region. Conversely, in Addis Ababa the average distance from women home to the SDP were 0.45km (with SD of  $\pm 0.34$ km) (Table 3). Among the survey respondents, 74.42% and 5.65% were living  $\leq 2$ km and >6km from the SDP, respectively. In the Afar region, majority (58.39%) of the respondents nearest distance from the SDP were above 6Km (Table 3).

#### Modern Contraceptive use Coverage

The coverage of modern contraceptive use among women who need it was found to be 44.2% of which 24.8% were injectable users, 14.2% implant users, 2.7% pills users, and 2.5% were other modern method users. The coverage in the use of modern contraception varied across administrative regions of the country; the highest modern

	Summ	ary of near	rest dista	nce fror	Percentage of women by nearest SD				
Region	Mean	Median	Sd	min	max	<=2km	2-6Km	>6km	
Tigray	1.52	0.79	2.16	0.02	12.12	81.41	10.83	7.76	
Afar	13.79	8.31	14.88	0.13	50.33	30.87	10.74	58.39	
Amhara	1.83	1.48	1.63	0.02	7.26	62.26	34.05	3.68	
Oromia	2.22	1.23	4.43	0.01	28.67	66.92	28.35	4.73	
Somali	2.06	0.63	3.34	0.12	15.91	76.12	11.94	11.94	
B-Gumuz	1.87	0.89	2.18	0.07	7.99	70.44	18.87	10.69	
SNNP	1.69	1.24	2.24	0.04	16.39	74.97	22.48	2.55	
Gambella	0.94	0.39	1.41	0.03	5.81	88.89	11.11	0	
Harari	0.89	0.41	1.03	0.02	4.47	86.36	13.64	0	
Addis Ababa	0.45	0.34	0.29	0.01	1.31	100	0	0	
Dire Dawa	0.56	0.51	0.36	0.06	1.72	100	0	0	
Total	2.01	0.88	4.38	0.01	50.33	74.42	19.93	5.65	

 Table 3
 Summary of respondents nearest distance from the SDP by region

contraception use among women who need it was reported in Addis Ababa (52.3%) followed by Amhara region (49.6%) and SNNP and Oromia regions (44.7%). As presented in Table 4, uptake of modern contraceptive methods were associated with different factors. The coverage of modern contraceptive uptake were 50.1% among women who have two-to-three children, 49.0% among married (in union) women, and 82.0% among women have had discussion about family planning with their partner in the past 12 months. Irrespective of different demographic factors (except region), among modern contraceptive users, injectibale users took the lion-share of the method-mix (Table 4). In our sample, women living in the Somali region are not using any of the modern methods. As compared with other modern contraception methods, women living in Addis Ababa, Dire dawa and Harari are implant users.

			Metho	d-mix	
Background Characterstics	mCPR	Injectables	Implant	Pill	Others
Total	44.2	24.8	14.2 2.7		2.5
Age					
15-19	45.5	29.7	11.9	2.5	1.3
20-24	52.9	30.0	15.4	4.0	2.9
25-34	49.2	27.5	16.3	3.1	2.6
35-49	33.2	17.6	11.6	1.6	2.5
School					
Never attend	35.5	21.0	12.1	0.9	1.5
Primary	49.8	28.1	16.2	3.3	2.5
Secondary/higher	53.8	27.3	15.3	5.6	4.7
Marital Status					
Not married	31.1	13.5	4.9	2.8	7.1
Married/Union	49.0	27.8	15.9	3.0	2.4
Divorced/Widowed	16.3	8.4	6.0	0.4	1.1
Religion					
Chistian	50.1	28.3	16.2	2.8	2.7
Muslim	28.5	15.6	8.7	2.3	1.8
Others	49.5	25.6	18.2	4.0	3.6
Wealth quantile					
Low	36.5	21.8	13.2	0.8	0.9
Middle	48.8	30.3	15.6	0.4	2.4
				Continued of	n next page

Table 4: The coverage of mCP by respondents background characteristics among women who need it.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Method-mix						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Background Characterstics	mCPR	Injectables	Implant	Pill	Others			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	High	49.4	24.9	14.5	5.7	4.1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Parity								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0-1 birth	51.7	29.0		4.5	2.6			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2-3 births	50.1	27.5	17.8	2.4	2.8			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4+ births	35.2	20.1	11.3	1.7	2.2			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Distance from SDP								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		47.7	26.8		3.7				
Media exposure No42.0 42.0 $25.6$ $13.0$ $1.7$ $1.8$ $4.3$ Yes $47.8$ $23.5$ $16.1$ $4.3$ $3.6$ Ever Pregnant $0$ $43.8$ $24.6$ $9.8$ $3.4$ $4.1$ $4.3$ Yes $44.2$ $24.8$ $14.7$ $2.6$ $2.3$ Partner discussion $0$ $17.2$ $10.0$ $5.1$ $0.6$ $1.4$ $4.8$ Yes $82.0$ $45.5$ $26.9$ $5.6$ $4.1$ Partner Feeling $0$ $0$ $0.6$ $1.2$ $2.6$ Don't care $22.7$ $12.3$ $6.5$ $1.0$ $2.2$ Ok with it $60.6$ $34.3$ $20.0$ $4.1$ $2.6$ Don't care $22.7$ $12.3$ $6.5$ $1.0$ $2.2$ Ok with it $60.6$ $34.3$ $20.0$ $4.1$ $2.6$ Don't care $22.7$ $12.3$ $6.5$ $1.0$ $2.2$ Ok with it $60.6$ $34.3$ $20.0$ $4.1$ $2.6$ Have a/another child $48.4$ $27.7$ $15.2$ $3.2$ $2.1$ No preference $36.3$ $19.3$ $12.2$ $1.8$ $3.2$ Residence $1$ $11.3$ $0.0$ $0.8$ $0.0$ Mar al $40.9$ $24.7$ $15.7$ $1.6$ $1.7$ Afar $2.2$ $1.3$ $0.0$ $0.6$ $0.0$ Mar al $40.6$ $32.8$ $12.6$ $2.6$ $1.5$ $1.6$ Mar al $49.6$ $32.8$ $12.6$ $2.6$		38.9	21.4	15.7		1.2			
No42.025.613.01.71.8Yes47.823.516.14.33.6Ever Pregnant $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ No43.824.69.83.44.1Yes44.224.814.72.62.3Partner discussion $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ No17.210.05.10.61.4Yes82.045.526.95.64.1Partner Feeling $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Don't care22.712.36.51.02.2Ok with it60.634.320.04.12.6Fertility Intention $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Have a/another child48.427.715.23.22.1No preference36.312.21.83.2Urban51.824.915.36.84.7Rural40.924.713.70.91.5Region $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Tigray36.717.615.71.61.7Afar2.21.30.00.80.0Amhara49.632.812.62.61.5Oroniya44.724.015.72.32.8Somali0.00.00.00.00.0Benishangul-Gumuz44.025.215.82.10.8SNNP44.726.713.62.6<	$> 6 \mathrm{Km}$	23.9	14.5	8.5	0.0	0.9			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Media exposure								
Ever Pregnant $Ves$ 43.824.69.83.44.1Yes44.224.814.72.62.3Partner discussion $Ves$ 20.05.10.61.4Yes82.045.526.95.64.1Partner Feeling $Ves$ 22.712.36.51.02.2Ok with it60.634.320.04.12.6Don't care22.712.36.51.02.2Ok with it60.634.320.04.12.6Fertility Intention $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ Have a/another child48.427.715.23.22.1No preference36.319.312.21.83.2Residence $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ Maral40.924.713.70.91.5Region $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ $Ves$ Maral49.632.812.62.61.5Oromiya44.724.015.72.32.8Somali0.00.00.00.00.0Benishangul-Gumuz44.025.215.82.10.8SNNP44.726.711.53.71.5Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	No	42.0	25.6	13.0	1.7	1.8			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Yes	47.8	23.5	16.1	4.3	3.6			
Yes44.224.814.72.62.3Partner discussion $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ No17.210.05.10.61.4Yes82.045.526.95.64.1Partner Feeling $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Disapprove26.414.68.21.02.6Don't care22.712.36.51.02.2Ok with it60.634.320.04.12.6Fertility Intention $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Have a/another child48.427.715.23.22.1No preference36.319.312.21.83.2Residence $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ Tigray36.717.615.71.61.7Afar2.21.30.00.80.0Afar2.21.30.00.80.0Amhara49.632.812.62.61.5Oromiya44.724.015.72.32.8Somali0.00.00.00.00.0Benishangul-Gumuz44.025.215.82.10.8SNNP44.726.713.62.61.9Gambella44.427.711.53.71.5Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	Ever Pregnant								
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	No	43.8	24.6	9.8	3.4	4.1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Yes	44.2	24.8	14.7	2.6	2.3			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Partner discussion								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	No	17.2	10.0	5.1	0.6	1.4			
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} {\rm Disapprove} & 26.4 & 14.6 & 8.2 & 1.0 & 2.6 \\ {\rm Don't\ care} & 22.7 & 12.3 & 6.5 & 1.0 & 2.2 \\ {\rm Ok\ with\ it} & 60.6 & 34.3 & 20.0 & 4.1 & 2.6 \\ \hline {\rm Fertility\ Intention} & & & & & \\ {\rm Have\ a/another\ child} & 48.4 & 27.7 & 15.2 & 3.2 & 2.1 \\ {\rm No\ preference} & 36.3 & 19.3 & 12.2 & 1.8 & 3.2 \\ \hline {\rm Residence} & & & & & \\ {\rm Urban\ 51.8} & 24.9 & 15.3 & 6.8 & 4.7 \\ {\rm Rural\ 40.9} & 24.7 & 13.7 & 0.9 & 1.5 \\ \hline {\rm Region\ } & & & & \\ {\rm Tigray\ 36.7} & 17.6 & 15.7 & 1.6 & 1.7 \\ {\rm Afar\ 2.2} & 1.3 & 0.0 & 0.8 & 0.0 \\ {\rm Amhara\ 49.6} & 32.8 & 12.6 & 2.6 & 1.5 \\ Oromiya\ 44.7 & 24.0 & 15.7 & 2.3 & 2.8 \\ {\rm Somali\ 0.0\ 0.0\ 0.0\ 0.0 & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \hline {\rm Benishangul-Gumuz\ 44.0} & 25.2 & 15.8 & 2.1 & 0.8 \\ {\rm SNNP\ 44.7} & 26.7 & 13.6 & 2.6 & 1.9 \\ \hline {\rm Gambella\ 44.4} & 27.7 & 11.5 & 3.7 & 1.5 \\ \hline {\rm Harari\ 27.4} & 5.7 & 12.0 & 3.9 & 5.4 \\ \hline {\rm Addis\ Ababa\ 52.3} & 14.7 & 18.6 & 8.7 & 8.6 \\ \end{array}$	Yes	82.0	45.5	26.9	5.6	4.1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Partner Feeling								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Disapprove	26.4	14.6	8.2	1.0	2.6			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Don't care	22.7	12.3	6.5	1.0	2.2			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ok with it	60.6	34.3	20.0	4.1	2.6			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Fertility Intention								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Have a/another child	48.4	27.7	15.2	3.2	2.1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	No preference	36.3	19.3	12.2	1.8	3.2			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Residence								
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Urban	51.8	24.9	15.3	6.8	4.7			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Rural	40.9	24.7	13.7	0.9	1.5			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Region								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tigray		17.6	15.7	1.6	1.7			
Oromiya44.724.015.72.32.8Somali0.00.00.00.00.0Benishangul-Gumuz44.025.215.82.10.8SNNP44.726.713.62.61.9Gambella44.427.711.53.71.5Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	Afar	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.8	0.0			
	Amhara	49.6	32.8	12.6	2.6	1.5			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oromiya	44.7	24.0	15.7	2.3	2.8			
SNNP44.726.713.62.61.9Gambella44.427.711.53.71.5Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	Somali	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Gambella44.427.711.53.71.5Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	Benishangul-Gumuz	44.0	25.2	15.8	2.1	0.8			
Harari27.45.712.03.95.4Addis Ababa52.314.718.68.78.6	SNNP	44.7	26.7	13.6	2.6	1.9			
Addis Ababa 52.3 14.7 18.6 8.7 8.6	Gambella	44.4	27.7	11.5	3.7	1.5			
		27.4				5.4			
	Addis Ababa	52.3	14.7	18.6	8.7	8.6			
Dire Dawa   34.3   7.3 10.0 4.0 7.3	Dire Dawa	34.3	7.3	16.0	4.6	7.5			

Table 4 – continued from previous page

#### Spatial Distribution of Modern Contraceptive use Coverage

The cluster level spatial analysis result reveals that there is a spatial clustering in the distribution of modern contraceptive users among women who need it in Ethiopia (Global Moran's I=0.27, Z-score=7.74, p-value < 0.0001). The hot-spot areas with relatively high coverage (yellow color) of modern contraceptive use were located in the Western and Central part of the country.

In addition to cluster level spatial exploration, the Zonal and Regional level coverage maps of modern contraceptive use were generated to show relatively high and Figure 1 Cluster level distribution of modern contraceptive use coverage in Ethiopia, PMA 2019

low coverage areas (Figure 2). The result shows the coverage was relatively high in the western and central parts of the country, and it was lower in the eastern part.

Figure 2 Regional (left panel) and Zonal(right panel) level distribution of modern contraceptive use coverage among women who need it in Ethiopia, PMA 2019

#### Spatial prediction of modern contraceptive use coverage

Figure 3 presents the predicted mean, and lower and upper limits of 95% credible intervals of modern contraception use coverage across the country. The prediction was done using model 1. The result reveals that the coverage of modern contraception use was lower in the eastern part of the country and relatively high in the north-west and central part of the country. The prediction results depicted on the map allow us to see the distribution of modern contraception use coverage in Ethiopia and helpful to understand which areas have a high or low coverage so appropriate policy action can be taken to improve the coverage in the uptake of modern contraception.

Figure 3 Map of modern contraceptive coverage prediction in Ethiopia: a) 2.5% quantile, b) Posterior predictive mean and c) 97.5% quantile of the coverage of modern contraceptive use.

#### Bayesian Geostatistical Modeling Results

Table 5 presents summary of Bayesian geostatistical modeling results. Note that, the coefficients of an INLA model can be interpreted in the same way as the coefficient of a logistic regression model. But, since INLA is a Bayesian modeling approach, rather than single estimates estimates are described using probability distributions [41]. The modeling result reveals, the likelihood of using modern contraception among women of reproductive age who need contraception was high among those who were from high wealth quintile household (POR=1.52, 95%CI (1.12,2.06)), women who had discussion with the partner about family planning (POR=20.25, 95%CI (16.54, 24.88)), compared to women who were those from low household wealth quintile, and who were not discussed about FP with their partner. Women who were 35 years and above(POR=0.59,95%CI (0.38, 0.93)), those practicing Muslim (POR=0.57, 95%CI (0.43, 0.75)), those who were divorced/widowed(POR=0.39, 95%CI (0.0.26, 0.59)) and those who attend secondary/higher education (POR=0.59, 95%CI (0.44, 0.79)) were less likely to use modern contraception as compared with the reference groups (Table 5).

	Posterior Estimates				Posterior Odds Ratio (POR)			
Factor	Mean	Sd	95%	6 CI	Mean	Sd	95% CI	
Age (ref:15-19)								
20-24	-0.04	0.19	-0.42	0.33	0.96	1.21	0.66	1.40
25-35	-0.05	0.20	-0.45	0.35	0.95	1.22	0.64	1.42
36-49	-0.52	0.23	-0.98	-0.07	0.59	1.26	0.38	0.93
School (ref: Never attained)								
Primary	0.04	0.11	-0.18	0.27	1.04	1.12	0.83	1.31
Secondary/higher	-0.53	0.15	-0.82	-0.23	0.59	1.16	0.44	0.79
Marital Status (ref: Single)								
Married/union	-0.02	0.21	-0.43	0.40	0.99	1.23	0.65	1.49
Divorced/widowed	-0.94	0.21	-1.35	-0.52	0.39	1.23	0.26	0.59
Religion(ref:Christian)								
Muslim	-0.57	0.15	-0.85	-0.28	0.57	1.16	0.43	0.75
Others	0.33	0.38	-0.43	1.07	1.38	1.46	0.65	2.92
Wealth (ref:Low)								
Middle	0.31	0.14	0.05	0.58	1.37	1.14	1.05	1.78
High	0.42	0.16	0.11	0.72	1.52	1.17	1.12	2.06
Residence (ref:Urban)								
Rural	0.00	0.15	-0.29	0.30	1.00	1.16	0.75	1.34
Parity (ref:0-1 births)								
2-3 births	-0.18	0.13	-0.44	0.08	0.84	1.14	0.64	1.09
4+ births	-0.30	0.17	-0.63	0.04	0.74	1.18	0.53	1.04
Media (ref:No)								
Yes	0.11	0.10	-0.08	0.30	1.12	1.10	0.92	1.35
Ever pregnant (ref:No)								
Yes	0.19	0.19	-0.19	0.56	1.21	1.21	0.83	1.75
Partner discussion(ref: No)								
Yes	3.01	0.10	2.81	3.21	20.25	1.11	####	24.88
Partner feeling (ref:disapprove)								
Don't care	0.12	0.17	-0.22	0.45	1.12	1.18	0.81	1.57
Ok with it	-0.09	0.14	-0.37	0.19	0.91	1.15	0.69	1.21
Fertility Intention (ref: Yes)								
No	0.01	0.12	-0.22	0.23	1.01	1.12	0.80	1.26
SDP distance (ref:≤2Km)								
2-6 Km	-0.26	0.14	-0.53	0.01	0.77	1.15	0.59	1.01
$> 6 \ \mathrm{Km}$	-0.62	0.30	-1.22	-0.04	0.54	1.35	0.30	0.96
Region (ref:Addis Ababa)								
Tigray	0.58	0.56	-0.52	1.70	1.79	1.75	0.60	5.45
Afar	-1.59	0.86	-3.37	0.00	0.20	2.36	0.03	0.999
Amhara	1.11	0.50	0.14	2.10	3.03	1.65	1.15	8.17
Oromia	0.61	0.37	-0.13	1.33	1.83	1.45	0.88	3.79
	1	1	I	1	I		ued on nex	

Table 5: Summary of the Bayesian geostatistical modeling results

Table 5 – continued from previous page								
	Po	sterior	Estimat	tes	Posterior Odds Ratio(PO			POR)
Factor	Mean	Sd	95%	ó CI	Mean	Sd	95%	CI
B-Gumuz	0.84	0.65	-0.41	2.15	2.32	1.92	0.66	8.61
SNNP	0.93	0.48	-0.01	1.87	2.54	1.61	0.99	6.48
Gambella	0.13	0.63	-1.09	1.36	1.13	1.87	0.34	3.91
Harari	0.29	0.67	-1.01	1.64	1.34	1.95	0.36	5.16
Dire dawa	0.39	0.66	-0.94	1.70	1.48	1.94	0.39	5.45
Spatial effects								
$ heta_1$	-2.36	0.36	-3.07	-1.65	-2.36	0.36	-3.07	-1.65
$\theta_2$	1.51	0.56	0.81	2.21	1.51	0.56	0.812	2.21

Table 5 – continued from previous page

A woman who traveled a minimum of 6km to get a health facility was 46% less likely to use modern contraceptive methods compared with those who had access to health facilities within 2km. As compared with women residing in others regions of the country, women living in Afar (POR=0.20, 95%CI (0.03, 0.99)) region was less likely to use modern contraception. The posterior odds ratio of modern contraception use among women who need it increased with increasing household wealth quintile.

Table 6 presents the percentage points difference between most- and leastadvantaged socioeconomic subgroups in modern contraception use. The result shows that there was 99 percentage points difference by SDP accessibility between women who have access to SDP within 2km and those who get access to SDP after traveling a minimum of 6km. Further, there was 35% percentage points difference in the use of modern contraceptive use among women from high wealth quintile group as compared with women from the low wealth quintile.

	Between the most- and least-advantaged Subgroups								
Factor	Comparison   % difference   95								
Wealth quintile	High Vs low	35	(15, 56)						
SDP accessibility	≤2km Vs >6km	99	(6, 193)						
Education	Secondary/higher Vs not attend	51	(30, 73)						
Residence	Urban Vs Rural	27	(9, 44)						

 $\label{eq:table_$ 

Figure 4 presents the mean and SD of the spatial field across the mesh. The figure shows that the mean of the spatial field across the mesh is non-uniform which reveals that there is spatial effect on the coverage of modern contraception use that we not accounting for with the factors included in our model. Thus, in areas where we see high standard deviation we require more data to make an accurate prediction of the true value of modern contraception use coverage.

Figure 4 Mean and standard deviation of the spatial field across our mesh

#### Discussion

This study examines the spatial distribution of modern contraception use coverage and identifies factors contributing to the uptake of contraception among women who need it, found that coverage of modern contraceptive use is very low(< 5%) in the eastern part of the country and women living near to the SDP are more likely to use modern contraception. Understanding geographical variation and identifying determinants of contraception use plays a major role in designing effective interventions that leads to increased use of modern family planning methods. This study found substantial significant variation in use of modern FP methods across different administrative regions of the country. A relatively higher proportion of modern contraception use was located in the central and western part of the country, and a low proportion of modern contraceptive use was observed in the eastern part of the country. Specifically, inline with previous studies [13, 22, 23, 26], this study found that women living in the eastern part of the country were less likely to use modern contraception than those women residing in other regions. This may be due to the difference in socio-cultural practices and beliefs [46]. For example Afar and Somali region populations are pastoralists and nomadic which may limit them to easily access health facilities to get family planning methods. Another reason for this finding may be women residing in Afar and Somali regions are less educated [47] and low wealth quintile [48]. Further, this may be linked with less access to health facilities [13] in these two regions.

The coverage of modern contraception use was high (>45%) in Addis Ababa and Amhara region. In line with other study findings [13, 34, 49], the uptake of modern contraceptive use is higher in women who are living near to the health facility.

The percentage of method-mix distribution among total contraceptive use across various methods-reflects both supply and demand (client preferences). When we see the method-mix distribution, among modern contraceptive users, injectibale users took the lion-share (Table 4). Even though, injectibales are the predominant methods, implant users are relatively high in Addis Ababa, Harari and Dire Dawa. In our study sample, there were no women from Somali region who are using any of the modern contraception methods (Table 4). Since method-mix can often be considered as a proxy for method availability and choice [50], it is very important to further investigate the availability of different contraceptive methods in areas where one method dominates the method mix, especially in Somali region. In addition to other factors, women who discussed family planning with their partner were more likely to use modern contraceptives, unlike those without such discussion. Thus, to improve the coverage of modern contraceptive use, it is very important to encourage/advocate women to have discussions with their partner.

Similar with other study findings [4, 14, 27, 51], Muslim women were less likely to use modern contraception as compared with Christians. The study done by [52–54] found that there is significant evidence for a relationship between religious socialization and contraceptive behavior. Heaton [53] examined religious group differences in fertility in 30 developing nations and found that Muslim fertility is substantially higher than Christian fertility in many countries.

In line with other studies [13, 14, 27, 34] the likelihood of using modern contraception increased with increasing wealth quintile. Women who were living in the high quintile wealth group were 52% more likely to use the service compared with those in the low quintile group. Access to contraception affected women's economic outcomes through their educational attainment, labor force participation and career outcomes[55]. A study by[56] suggests that investing in family planning is a development "best buy" that can accelerate achievement across the five SDG themes. Thus, in collaboration with partner agencies increasing the coverage of modern contraception use especially in areas with low modern contraception use coverage is essential.

Similar with the findings [4, 27], we found that divorced/widowed women were less likely to use modern contraception methods as compared with unmarried/single women. Women who were 35 years old and above were less likely to use modern contraception compared to those in younger ages. This finding is similar with other studies [13, 14, 27]. This may be due to the fact that women aged 35 and above want to give birth compared to their younger counterparts [13].

Following the four FP2030 Ethiopia government commitments [11] to achieve Ethiopia's Family Planning 2030 Vision, the ministry of health is working to increase access and ensure availability of quality family planning information and service. As studied by [3], investing in contraceptive service greatly reduces the need for public spending on health and other social services. The low level coverage of contraceptive use leads to high level of unintended pregnancy which ultimately becomes a cause of unsafe abortion [57].

#### Strength and limitations of the study

This study has several advantages. First, the use of nationally representative data boosts the capacity of our findings to be generalized to women in Ethiopia. Further, employing spatial data analysis techniques enabled us to identify low- and high-coverage modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia, which will benefit both program designers and implementers in their design on context-specific and populationtargeted interventions to enhance modern contraceptive use. Nevertheless, the results presented in this study should be considered in light of some limitations. One of the major limitations was that the data used in this study obtained from a cross-sectional survey, limiting us from establishing causality. Further, the data was self-reported, making it highly susceptible to recall bias and social desirability bias. Moreover, covariates considered in this study were limited to those available in the survey.

#### Policy and Practical implications

Findings from this study have implications for policy recommendation and practice. Women in the eastern part of the country should be given critical attention in family planning education programs. More efforts should be invested in providing family planning access to women without fertility intentions in these regions, as this will further increase the rate of modern contraceptive use among women who need it in Ethiopia. Given the observed result in the modern contraceptive use, women residing in the Somali region are not being reached by current family planning programs. Findings from our study has the following implications for policy and practice.

- 1. Integrating trained, equipped and supported health extension workers with the nomadic and pastoralists community, especially in Afar and Somali regions.
- 2. Expansion of SDPs and freely avail FP methods to create physical and economic accessibility.
- 3. Arrange mobile outreach services to provide a wide range of contraception.

#### **Conclusion and Recommendation**

The study found that the coverage of modern contraceptives has substantial geographic disparity in Ethiopia ranging from nearly 0% to 52.3% across the country, with significant regional variations. Significant disparity was also observed by religion, distance from the nearest health facility, fertility intention, partner support and discussion about family planning, wealth quintile, educational attainment, age and marital status. The findings of this study have several implications; first regions and zones with low contraceptive coverage should be targeted for scaling up family planning services, second based on the largest nearest distance to the SDP, it is important to launch additional health service facilities to ensure equity of access to health facilities. Third, increasing educational opportunities for those who practiced Muslim region is important to increase the coverage in Somali and Afar region.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank all PMA Ethiopia team for their efforts during the field data collection of PMA surveys.

#### Funding

This work was supported, in whole, by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [INV 009466]. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission.

#### Abbreviations

EDHS- Ethiopian Demographic Health survey INLA- Integrated Nested Laplace Approximation POR- Posterior Odds Ratio SD- Standard Deviation SPA-Service Provision Assessment.

#### Availability of data and materials

All data used in this publication are publicly available at

https://www.pmadata.org/data/request-access-datasets. Citations for the data are Addis Ababa University, School of Public Health at the College of Health Sciences and The Bill & Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health at The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by Institutional Review Boards of College of Health Science at Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia and at the Bloomberg School of Public Health at Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, USA.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

- Bedilu Alamirie Ejigu: Conceptualization, Data management, Data Analysis, Interpretation, Write up.
- Solomon Shiferaw: Writing-Review, Editing and fund acquisition
- Assefa Seme: Writing-Review and Editing
- Ayanaw Amogne: Data management
- Mahari Yihdego: Review
- Addisalem Zebene:Review
- Paula Moraga: Data Analysis, Writing-Review and Editing.
- Linnea Zimmerman: Conceptualization, writing-review, editing and fund acquisition.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Statistics, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, ETH. <sup>2</sup>School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <sup>3</sup>Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia. <sup>4</sup>PMA Ethiopia, PMA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <sup>5</sup>Department of Population, Family, and Reproductive Health USA, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, USA.

#### References

- Saifuddin A, Qingfeng L, Li L, Amy O T. Maternal deaths averted by contraceptive use: an analysis of 172 countries. Lancet. 2012;380(9837):111–125.
- Win B, Saifuddin A, Neil R, Emily S, Gary L D. Impact of family planning programs in reducing high-risk births due to younger and older maternal age, short birth intervals, and high parity. Semin Perinatol. 2015;39(5):338–344.
- 3. Jacqueline E D, Singh S, Nadeau J. Contraception: an investment in lives, health and development. Issues Brief (Alan Guttmacher Inst). 2008;5:1-4.
- Bright O A. Predictors of modern contraceptive use among adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa: a mixed effects multilevel analysis of data from 29 demographic and health surveys. Contracept Reprod Med. 2020;5(32).
- Zemenu T T, Achamyeleh B T, Getayeneh A T, Yigizie Y, Misganaw G W. Pooled prevalence and determinants of modern contraceptive utilization in East Africa: A Multi-country Analysis of recent Demographic and Health Surveys. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(3).
- Abebaw W K, Haimanot A A, Tadele G, Wako G W. Effects of Scaling Up Family Planning on Maternal Survival in Ethiopia: Spectrum Modeling. Int J Womens Health. 2021;13:711 –716.
- 7. Anna B, Kelly M J. The Economic Effects of Contraceptive Access: A Review of the Evidence; 2019.
- 8. John S, William W. The effects of family planning and other factors on fertility, abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirths in the spectrum model. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(775).
- Amy OT, Raegan MM, Anne E B. Family planning and the burden of unintended pregnancies. Epidemiolgy Review. 2010;32(1):152–174.
- MoH. National Guideline for Family Planning Services In Ethiopia, 3rd edition. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2020. Available from: http://repository.iifphc.org/bitstream/handle/123456789/1032/National% 20Guideline%20for%20Family%20Planning%202020.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.
- 11. MoH. FP2030 ETHIOPIA GOVERNMENT COMMITMENT. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2021. Available from: https://fp2030.org/ethiopia.
- 12. Kibret MA, Lia T G. Two decades of family planning in Ethiopia and the way forward to sustain hard-fought gains. Reprod Health. 2022;19(124).
- Teketo K T, Catherine C, Peta M F, Theodros G, Roger S, Deborah L. Spatial variations and associated factors of modern contraceptive use in Ethiopia: a spatial and multilevel analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(10)
- Lakew Y, Reda AA, Tamene H, et al. Geographical variation and factors influencing modern contraceptive use among married women in Ethiopia: evidence from a national population based survey. Reprod Health. 2013;10(52).
- Melkalem M A, Dawit T F, Yeaynmarnesh A B, Ayenew M L, Malede M S. Spatial distribution and determinant factors of unmet need for family planning among all reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: a multi-level logistic regression modelling approach. Contracept Reprod Med. 2022;7(13).
- 16. S A, W W, L M. PMA2020/Ethiopia: Family Planning Brief; 2018. Available from: https: //www.pmadata.org/sites/default/files/data\_product\_results/PMA2020-Ethiopia-R6-FP-Brief.pdf.
- Bedilu A E, Assefa S, Linnea Z, Solomon S. Trend and determinants of quality of family planning counseling in Ethiopia: Evidence from repeated PMA cross-sectional surveys, (2014–2019). PLoS ONE. 2022;17(5). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267944.
- Aluisio J D B, Ties B, Ahmad R H, María C RM, Kerry L M W, Cesar G V. Estimating family planning coverage from contraceptive prevalence using national household surveys. Glob Health Action. 2015;9. Available from: doi:10.3402/gha.v8.29735.
- Ties B, Carla A, David E, Tim E. Monitoring Intervention Coverage in the Context of Universal Health Coverage. PLoS Med. 2014;11(9).
- Ties J B, Jennifer B, Yohannes K, Henrik A, Cesar GV. Mind the gap: equity and trends in coverage of maternal, newborn, and child health services in 54 Countdown countries. Lancet. 2008;371(9620):1259–1267.
- 21. PRH ME. An Overview of Spatial Data Protocols for Family Planning Activities: Why and How to Include the "Where" in Your Data. USA; 2011. Available from: www.cpc.unc.edu/measure/prh.
- Balew JG, Yongtae C, Kim CT, Ko W. Structural Determinants in Family Planning Service Utilization in Ethiopia: EDHS 2011 Analysis. BioMed Research International. 2015;Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/495745.
- Abebaw G W, Gizachew A T, Atinkut A Z. Trends of Modern Contraceptive Use among Young Married Women Based on the 2000, 2005, and 2011 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Surveys: A Multivariate Decomposition Analysis. 2015;10(1). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0116525.
- Meselu W, Habtamu A, Woyraw W, Birlew Tsegaye T. Trends and predictors of modern contraceptive use among married women: Analysis of 2000–2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Surveys. Public Health in Practice. 2022;3:100243. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666535222000192.
- Tamrat S, Zerihun K. Determinants of modern contraceptive use among sexually active men in Ethiopia; using EDHS 2016 national survey. Contracept Reprod Med. 2020;5. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40834-020-00108-7.
- Samuel H, Binyam T S, Mohammedjud H A, Teferi GH, Zegaye R, Beemnet T, et al. Individual and community-level factors associated with modern contraceptive use among adolescent girls and young women in Ethiopia: a multilevel analysis of 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health survey. Arch Public Health. 2021;79(204). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00736-8.
- 27. Obasanjo A B, Zemenu T T, James B F, Abdul-Aziz S, Bright O A. Spatial distribution and factors associated with modern contraceptive use among women of reproductive age in Nigeria: A multilevel analysis. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(12).

- Faustin H, Shaun R. Spatial Analysis of Socio-Economic and Demographic Factors Associated with Contraceptive Use among Women of Childbearing Age in Rwanda. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(11).
- Samuel H N. Spatial variations and socioeconomic determinants of modern contraceptive use in Ghana: A Bayesian multilevel analysis. Plos ONE. 2020;15(3).
- Timothee F, Linnea Z, Simon P S K, Fredrick M, Peter G, Solomon S, et al. Measuring family planning quality and its link with contraceptive use in public facilities in Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Kenya and Uganda. Health Policy Plan. 2018;33(7).
- Christopher J C, David K G, Speizer IS. The effects of health facility access and quality on family planning decisions in urban Senegal. Health Econ. 2018;27(3).
- 32. Alexandria K M, Karp C, Saifuddin A, Mahari Y, Assefa S, Solomon S, et al. Individual and facility-level factors associated with women's receipt of immediate postpartum family planning counseling in Ethiopia: results from national surveys of women and health facilities. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21(809).
- Linnea A Z, Suzanne O B, Qingfeng L, Antonia M, Philip A, Amy O T. Individual, community and service environment factors associated with modern contraceptive use in five Sub-Saharan African countries: A multilevel, multinomial analysis using geographically linked data from PMA2020. PLoS One. 2019;14(6).
- Solomon S, Mark S, Seme A, Amogne A, Skrøvseth S, Desta S, et al. Does proximity of women to facilities with better choice of contraceptives affect their contraceptive utilization in rural Ethiopia? PLOS ONE. 2017;12(11).
- Melash B A, Gedefaw A F, Gizachew W D, Gelaw YM. Modern Contraceptive Use and Influencing Factors in Amhara Regional State: Further Analysis of Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey Data 2016. Advances in Public Health. 2020;2020. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5817383.
- Desta T, Teferi G G, Lemlem G, Aregawi B, Merhawit G H, Tsega G, et al. Prevalence and determinants of modern contraceptive utilization among women in the reproductive age group in Edaga-hamus Town, Eastern zone, Tigray region, Ethiopia, June 2017. PLOS ONE. 2020;15(3). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227795.
- Linnea Z, Selam D, Mahari Y, Ann R, Ayanaw A, Celia K, et al. Protocol for PMA-Ethiopia: A new data source for cross-sectional and longitudinal data of reproductive, maternal, and newborn health. Gates Open Research. 2020;4(126).
- Skiles MP, Clara R B, Sian L C, John S. Geographically linking population and facility surveys: methodological considerations. Popul Health Metrics. 2013;11(13).
- StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, Texas, USA; 2021. Available from: https://www.stata.com/.
- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria; 2020. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/.
- Paula M. Geospatial Health Data: Modeling and Visualization with R-INLA and Shiny. Chapman& Hall/CRC Biostatistics Series; 2019.
- Havard R, Sara M, Nicolas C. Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B. 2009;71(2).
- Finn L, Havard R, Johan L. An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach. Journal of Royal Statistical Society, Series B. 2011;73(4).
- 44. Michael L S. Interpolation of Spatial Data: Some Theory for Kriging. Springer; 1999.
- 45. Bertil M. Spatial Variation. Springer-Verlag; 1986.
- 46. Amirrtha S, Robert L R. Religious and Cultural Influences on Contraception. Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology. 2008;30(2).
- FDRE. Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia Ministry of Education. Education Statistics Annual Abstract September 2019-March 2020; 2020.
- Bank W. Ethiopia Regional Poverty Report: Promoting Equitable Growth for All Regions. World Bank, Washington, DC.; 2020.
- Oumer A E, Ejigu G Z, Atalay G M. Geographic variation and associated factors of long-acting contraceptive use among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia: a multi-level and spatial analysis of Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey 2016 data. Reprod Health. 2021;18(122).
- Jane T B, Tara M S, Knowles EA, Muhammad F Z, James D S. Contraceptive Method Skew and Shifts in Method Mix In Low-and Middle-Income Countries. International Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health. 2014;40(3):144–153.
- Phillips E O. Religion, Ethnicity and Contraceptive Use among Reproductive age Women in Nigeria. Int J MCH AIDS. 2015;3(1).
- Sara E Y, Jenny T. Beyond Denomination: The Relationship between Religion and Family Planning in Rural Malawi. Demogr Res. 2008;19(55):1851–1882.
- 53. Tim B H. Does Religion Influence Fertility in Developing Countries. Popul Res Policy Rev. 2011;30:449-465.
- Radhika S, Yoder LM, Kihunrwa A, Aristide C, Samuel E K, David J D, et al. How gender and religion impact uptake of family planning: results from a qualitative study in Northwestern Tanzania. BMC Women's Health. 2019;19(99).
- 55. IWPR. The Economic Effects of Contraceptive Access: A Review of the Evidence; 2020.
- Ellen S, Maureen N, Rachel M. Investing in Family Planning: Key to Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. Global Health:Science and Practice. 2016;4(2). Available from: https://doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-15-00374.
- 57. Bellizzi S, Mannava P, Nagai M, Sobel HL. Reasons for discontinuation of contraception among women with a current unintended pregnancy in 36 low and middle-income countries. Contraception. 2020;101(1):26–33. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010782419304305.

## Figures



## Figure 1

Cluster level distribution of modern contraceptive use coverage in Ethiopia, PMA 2019



## Figure 2

Regional (left panel) and Zonal(right panel) level distribution of modern contraceptive use coverage among women who need it in Ethiopia, PMA 2019



## Figure 3

Map of modern contraceptive coverage prediction in Ethiopia: a) 2.5% quantile, b) Posterior predictive mean and c) 97.5% quantile of the coverage of modern contraceptive use.



## Figure 4

Mean and standard deviation of the spatial field across our mesh